

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMEDCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,253	08/24/2001	James M. Derderian	4830US (01-0106)	4830US (01-0106) 2189	
24247	7590 05/13/2003				
TRASK BRI	TT		EXAMI	NER	
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
		DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Alexander O Williams As HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. TH		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Allocander O Williams 2286				IES M.
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she it with the corr spondenc address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exhauston of them may be available under the spoetosical of 3°C R1.13(a). In no event, however, may a riphy be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is last than theiry (30) days, and provide the period will be provided to the provided of the communication of 11 the period for reply specified above is last than theiry (30) days, and the considered limits. If the period for reply specified above is last than theiry (30) days, and provided in the standard period will apply and will be provided the standard period will apply and will be provided to the provided of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is last than theiry (30) days, and the considered limits. If the period for reply specified above is last than theiry (30) days, and the considered limits. If the period for reply specified above is last than theiry (30) days, and the standard period of the communication. Final than the standard period of the period of the period of the communication of the specified and the specified and the period of the communication. Status Status This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 [slare pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) 14-16.27-30.34-36.41 and 48-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-6 [slare allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13.17-26.31-33.37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-13.17-26.31-33.37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-13.47-26.31-33.37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1-15 [slare application and for electric rep	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and EXIX (9) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. If the period for nely specified above, the maximum statistics parted will apply and will assign statistics of the period for nely specified above, the maximum statistics parted will apply and will assign statistics. If the period for nely specified above, the maximum statistics parted will apply and will assign statistics. If the period for nely specified above, the maximum statistics parted will apply and will assign statistics. If the period for nely is apposited above, the maximum statistics parted will apply and will assign statistics. If the period for nely is apposited above, the maximum statistics parted will apply and will assign statistics. Any reply received by the Office start than there monation effort the maling date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any search patient term salgutiment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 [sfare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16.27-30.34-36.41 and 48-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-13 17-26,31-33.37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-13 17-26,31-33.37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 17-26,31-33.37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1/2 18-2 1/2 18-2 1/2 18-2 18-2 18-2 18-2 18-2 18-2 18-2 18-		Alexander O Williams	2826	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions from engby be without end the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(s)b. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallway MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period to reply is specified used to find some mailing date of this communication. Fallway for each of the second of the communication of the provision of the second of the communication. Fallway for each of the second of the second of the communication. Fallway for each of the second of the second of the second of the communication. Fallway for each of the second of the second of the second of the communication. Fallway for each of the second of the second of the second of the communication. Fallway for each of the second of the seco		ars on the cover she t with the c	corr spondenc ad	dress
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-67 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16,27-30,34-36,41 and 48-67 Is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u>pril 2003</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
A) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16.27-30.34-36.41 and 48-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice under be			e merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16.27-30.34-36.41 and 48-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s)	· <u>_</u>			
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) b) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
6) Claim(s) 1-13,17-26,31-33,37-40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) b) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) b) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		<u>and 48-67</u> is/are withdrawn fron	n consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>			
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. D) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 50 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	, — , , — ,	election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	·· _			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 41 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 52 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	, ,		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 10 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			ved by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		iiiiiiici.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (t).	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, ,			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	• •		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a) The translation of the foreign language prov	visional application has been rec	eived.	,
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,—	, priority under 00 0.0.0. 33 120	and of 141,	
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F		

Application/Control Number: 09/939,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 09/939253 Attorney's Docket #: 4830US(01-0106)

Filing Date: 8/24/01;

Applicant: Derderian

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Applicant's Amendment in Paper # 15, filed 4/25/03, has been acknowledged. The claims being examined are claims 1 to 13, 17-26, 31 to 33, 37 to 40 and 42 to 44

This application contains claims 14 to 16, 27 to 30, 34 to 36, 41 and 48 to 67 drawn to an invention non-elected without traverse in Paper No. 11.

This application contains claims 68 to 102 drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse in Paper No. 9.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1 to 11, 17 to 26, 31 to 33, 40 and 42 to 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Foster (U.S. Patent #6,552,416 B1).

For example, in claim 1, Foster (figures 1 to 9A) specifically figures 4 and 8 show a semiconductor device for use in a stacked multi-chip assembly, comprising: a semiconductor die 30; and a dielectric spacer layer (40 in figure 4 and 32 in figure 8) formed on at least a portion of a surface of said semiconductor die and protruding therefrom substantially a predetermined distance that said semiconductor die and an adjacent semiconductor die 31 of said stacked multi-chip assembly are to be spaced apart from one another, said spacer layer including voids (in figure 8, middle portion between the two 32s) communicating with a lateral periphery thereof (see column 4, lines 17-41).

For example, in claim 19, Foster (figures 1 to 9A) specifically figures 4 and 8 show a semiconductor device assembly, comprising: a first semiconductor device 30; a nonconfluent spacer layer (40 in figure 4 and 32 in figure 8) comprising dielectric material and being positioned on a surface of said first semiconductor device, a second semiconductor device 31 positioned over said first semiconductor device, a surface of said second semiconductor device being adhered to said nonconfluent spacer layer (see column 4, lines 17-41).

Initially, it is noted that the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection based on a substrate and the combination of a inner lead trace

ILT and a inner lead tape ILT deals with an issue (i.e., the integration of multiple pieces into one piece or conversely, using multiple pieces in replacing a single piece) that has been previously decided by the courts.

In <u>Howard v. Detroit Stove Works</u> 150 U.S. 164 (1893), the Court held, "it involves no invention to cast in one piece an article which has formerly been cast in two pieces and put together...."

In <u>In re Larson</u> 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965), the term "integral" did not define over a multi-piece structure secured as a single unit. More importantly, the court went further and stated, "we are inclined to agree with the solicitor that the use

Art Unit: 2826

of a one-piece construction instead of the [multi-piece] structure disclosed in Tuttle et al. would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice" (bracketed material added). The court cited <u>In re Fridolph</u> for support.

In re Fridolph 135 USPQ 319 (CCPA 1962) deals with submitted affidavits relating to this issue. The underlying issue in In re Fridolph was related to the end result of making a multi-piece structure into a one-piece structure. Generally, favorable patentable weight was accorded if the one-piece structure yielded results not expected from the modification of the two-piece structure into a single piece structure.

Claims 37 to 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster (U.S. Patent #6,552,416 B1).

In claim 37, Foster (figure 4) show a substrate (the combination of 32 and 20) upon which one of said first semiconductor device 30 and said second semiconductor device is positioned.

In claim 38, Foster (figure 4) show at least one bond pad 36 of at least one of said first semiconductor device and said second semiconductor device 31 is in communication (through 35) with a corresponding contact area (portion of which 35 contacts 20) of said substrate (combination of 20,32).

In claim 39, Foster (figure 4) show the substrate comprising at least one of a circuit board, an interposer, another semiconductor device, and leads 20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the combination of a inner lead trace ILT and a inner lead tape ILT as the substrate as "merely a matter of obvious engineering choice" as set forth in the above case law.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster (U.S. Patent #6,552,416 B1) and in view of Smith, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent #6,049,370).

Art Unit: 2826

Foster show the features of the claimed invention as detailed above, but fail to explicitly show a spacer layer comprising polymer, where as the polymer comprises a photoimageable polymer. Foster does discloses that the spacer can be s non-conductive material, depending on the electrical function (see column 4, lines 17-41). Photoimageable polymer is defined to be a photoresist polymer.

Smith, Jr. et al. is cited for showing liquid crystal light valvue using internal, fixed spacers. Specifically, Smith, Jr. et al. (figures 2 to 5) specifically figure 3 discloses a ariety of materials may be used to form the spacer pads 40, including an oxide, such as silica or indium tin oxide, a metal, such as chromium, aluminum, or gold, and polymers, such as polyimides or photoresist materials for the purpose of giving spacing between electrical connecting materials.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Smith, Jr. et al.'s photoresist polymer spacer to modify Foster's spacers for the purpose of giving spacing between electrical connecting materials.

Claims 13 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster (U.S. Patent #6,552,416 B1) and in view of Mueller et al. (U.S. Patent #6,316,786 B1).

Foster show the features of the claimed invention as detailed above, but fail to explicitly show a spacer layer comprising at least one of a glass, a silicon oxide, a silicon nitride, and a silicon oxynitride. However, Foster does discloses that the spacer can be s non-conductive material, depending on the electrical function (see column 4, lines 17-41).

Mueller et al. is cited for showing an organic opto-electronic devices.

Specifically, Mueller et al. (figures 1A to 3c) specifically figure 1B discloses <a href="mailto:space-spac

Art Unit: 2826

SiO.sub.2, Siliconoxynitride (SiON), organic compounds such as polyimides, aluminiumoxide, aluminiumnitride, or titaniumoxide, for example for the purpose of providing sufficient contact between the layers and damage between the layers are avoided.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Mueller et al.'s spacer to modify Foster's spacers for the purpose of providing sufficient contact between the layers and damage between the layers are avoided.

Response

Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/03 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejections detailed above.

The insertion of Applicant's additional claimed language in the Amendment filed 12/9/02, for example, "in claims 1 and 19" cause for further search and consideration to make this action final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY

Art Unit: 2826

PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

The listed references are cited as of interest to this application, but not applied at this time.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass:	9/9/02
257/686,685,777,778,784-787,734,737,738,723,730,773	2/22/03
	5/8/03
Other Documentation:	9/9/02
foreign patents and literature in	2/22/03
257//686,685,777,778,784-787,734,737,738,723,730,773	5/8/03
Electronic data base(s):	9/9/02
U.S. Patents EAST	2/22/03
	5/8/03

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 2800 via the Technology Center 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4-5B15. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 2800 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or 24. Only Papers related to Technology Cent r 2800 APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE FAXED to the GROUP 2800 FAX CENTER.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to *Examiner Alexander Williams* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-4863**.

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Technology Center 2800 receptionist* whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

5/8/03

Primary Examiner
Alexander O. Williams